

1 [Opposing the Metropolitan Transportation Commission’s Proposal to Add Voting Members  
2 Representing Urban Areas]

3  
4 **Resolution opposing the Metropolitan Transportation Commission’s proposal to add**  
5 **voting members representing urban areas but excluding San Francisco and other large**  
6 **Bay Area cities, and urging the California State Legislature to oppose the proposal.**

7  
8 WHEREAS, The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is an important and  
9 valued partner to the City and County of San Francisco, specifically for The San Francisco  
10 Municipal Transportation Agency and the San Francisco County Transportation Authority,  
11 working with the City and County of San Francisco on critical infrastructure projects such as  
12 the Central Subway, Doyle Drive and the Transbay Transit Center, as well as forward-looking  
13 programs such as Clipper and regional bicycle sharing; and

14 WHEREAS, The funding and guidance that the MTC provides enables San Francisco  
15 to honor its commitment to being a Transit First City by expanding and improving public  
16 transportation, bicycle and pedestrian access and other transportation demand-management  
17 programs; and

18 WHEREAS, On January 26, 2011, the MTC voted to request state legislation that  
19 would amend California Government Code Section 66503 to add one seat each for Alameda  
20 County and Santa Clara County; and

21 WHEREAS, If successful, this legislation would alter the structure of the Commission,  
22 increasing the number of members to 21 from 19, expanding the relative power of Alameda  
23 and Santa Clara Counties by allocating them three seats each, effectively diluting the voices  
24 of the other seven Bay Area counties that comprise the MTC; and,  
25

1           WHEREAS, Those in support of this proposal to expand the MTC's membership, led by  
2 the cities of San Jose and Oakland, base their rationale on the fact that these two major cities  
3 have large resident populations, or nighttime populations, and therefore should be afforded  
4 more power in apportioning transportation funding across the region; and

5           WHEREAS, San Francisco acknowledges that San Jose and Oakland are critical hubs  
6 in the Bay Area economic web, as both of these cities have international airports and  
7 combined are home to more than 50% of all transit commuters in the Bay Area; and

8           WHEREAS, This argument ignores the fact that San Francisco is the destination for  
9 upwards of 500,000 commuters on weekdays, nearly half the population of San Jose and  
10 nearly the population of Oakland; and by ignoring this fact, this expansion proposal denies  
11 San Francisco equal representation via an opportunity to also gain a seat on the MTC; and

12           WHEREAS, Transit funding is most appropriately allocated based on where people  
13 travel and work during the day, at times when they use public transit, roads, and bicycle  
14 infrastructure, not at night when they are at home; and

15           WHEREAS, A similar proposal was forwarded in 2004, but ultimately was defeated by  
16 a coalition of Bay Area governments that believed in equal apportionment; and

17           WHEREAS, San Francisco is willing to work in partnership towards a restructuring  
18 plan, so long as said plan considers daytime commute population as the baseline metric for  
19 apportioning seats on the MTC; now, therefore, be it

20           RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco opposes the expansion plan  
21 as currently drafted, as it provides two counties with additional seats based on a faulty  
22 justification of nighttime population; and, be it

23           FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco urges the MTC to  
24 reconsider this proposal with a more equitable formula for apportionment, specifically basing  
25 the Commission's structure on daytime commute population; and be it

1            FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco urges the  
2 California State Legislature to oppose the MTC's proposal.

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



City and County of San Francisco

Tails  
Resolution

City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 110118

Date Passed: February 08, 2011

Resolution opposing the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's proposal to add voting members representing urban areas but excluding San Francisco and other large Bay Area cities, and urging the California State Legislature to oppose the proposal.

February 08, 2011 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Mirkarimi and Wiener

File No. 110118

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 2/8/2011 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board

Mayor Edwin Lee

Date Approved